

Engineering Research Centers

End-of-Year Report 2020

i. 15 ERCs Referenced in Slides 1–5

NSF Nanosystems Engineering Research Center for Nanomanufacturing Systems for Mobile Computing and Mobile Energy Technologies at University of Texas (NASCENT) (Class: 2012; AY: 2012 – 2020; RY: 2012 – 2020)*	ERC for Ultra-wide-area Resilient Electric Energy Transmission Networks at University of Tennessee (CURENT) (Class: 2011; AY: 2011 – 2020; RY: 2011 – 2020)*
NSF Nanosystems Engineering Research Center for	Nanosystems Engineering Research Center for
Advanced Self-Powered Systems of Integrated Sensors and	Nanotechnology Enabled Water Treatment Systems at Rice
Technologies (ASSIST) (Class: 2012; AY: 2012 – 2020; RY: 2012 – 2020)*	University (NEWT) (Class: 2015; AY: 2015 – 2020; RY: 2015 – 2020)*
Engineering Research Center for Bio-mediated and Bio-	ERC for Precise Advanced Technologies and Health Systems
inspired Geotechnics at Arizona State University (CBBG) (Class:	for Underserved Populations at Texas A&M University (PATHS-
2015; AY: 2015 – 2020; RY: 2015 – 2020)*	UP) (Class: 2017; AY: 2017 – 2020; RY: 2017 – 2020)*
ERC for Directed Multiscale Assembly of Cellular Metamaterials	ERC for Power Optimization for ElectroThermal Systems
with Nanoscale Precision at Boston University (CELL-MET) (Class:	at University of Illinois (POETS) (Class: 2015; AY: 2015 – 2020; RY: 2015
2017; AY: 2017 – 2020; RY: 2017 – 2020)*	– 2020)*
ERC for Innovative and Strategic Transformation	ERC for Quantum Energy and Sustainable Solar Technologies
of Alkane Resources at Purdue University (CISTAR) (Class: 2017;	at Arizona State University (QESST) (Class: 2011; AY: 2011 – 2020; RY:
AY: 2017 – 2020; RY: 2017 – 2020)*	2011 – 2020)*
ERC for Cell Manufacturing Technologies at Georgia Institute of Technology (CMaT) (Class: 2017; AY: 2017 – 2020; RY: 2017 – 2020)*	ERC for Re-inventing the Nation's Urban Water Infrastructure at Stanford University (ReNUWIt) (Class: 2011; AY: 2011 – 2020; RY: 2011 – 2020)*
ERC for Revolutionizing Metallic Biomaterials at North Carolina A&T State University (NCAT) (Class: 2008; AY: 2008 – 2020; RY: 2008 – 2020)*	Nanosystems Engineering Research Center for Translational Applications of Nanoscale Multiferroic Systems at University of California Los Angeles (TANMS) (Class: 2012; AY: 2012 – 2020; RY: 2012 – 2020)*
Center for Neurotechnology at University of Washington (CNT) (Class: 2011; AY: 2011 – 2020; RY: 2011 – 2020)*	

*AY and RY denotes the Award Year and Reporting Year Range

ii. "Annualized ERCs" on slides 1–5 include the 15 El additional 9 ERCs	RCs from the previous slide and the following
Quality of Life Technology Engineering Research Center at Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) (Class: 2006; AY: 2006 – 2015; RY: 2006 – 2014)*	Engineering Research for Structured Organic Particulate Systems at Rutgers University (C-SOPS) (Class: 2006; AY: 2006 – 2016; RY: 2006 – 2015)*
Engineering Research Center for Compact and Efficient Fluid Power at the University of Minnesota – Twin Cities (Class: 2006; AY: 2006 – 2016; RY: 2006 – 2017)*	Synthetic Biology ERC at the University of California, Berkeley (SynBERC) (Class: 2006; AY: 2006 – 2016; RY: 2006 – 2015)*
ERC for Integrated Access Networks at the University of Arizona (CIAN) (Class: 2008; AY: 2008 – 2019; RY: 2008 – 2019)*	Future Renewable Electric Energy and Management Systems Center at North Carolina State University (FREEDM) (Class: 2008; AY: 2008 – 2019; RY: 2008 – 2019)*
Center for Biorenewable Chemicals at Iowa State University (IOWA) (Class: 2008; AY: 2008 – 2019; RY: 2008 – 2019)*	ERC for Lighting Enabled Systems & Applications at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (LESA) (Class: 2008; AY: 2008 – 2019; RY: 2008 – 2019)*
ERC on Mid-Infrared Technologies for Health and the Environment at Princeton University (MIRTHE) (Class: 2006; AY: 2006 – 2016; RY: 2006 – 2016)*	

*AY and RY denotes the Award Year and Reporting Year Range

ERC Products of Innovation, FY 1985–2020*

	FY 2020 (15 ERCs)		FY 201 Annu	FY 1985–2020 (65 ERCs)	
Intellectual Property Transaction	Total	Per Center	Total	Per Center	Total
Inventions Disclosed	57	4	80	5	2,564
Patent Applications Filed (Provisional and Full)	69	5	96	5	2,242
Patents Awarded	22	1	31	2	883
Licenses Issued	11	1	8	< 1	1,379
Economic Development	Total	Per Center	Total	Per Center	Total
Spinoff Companies	12	1	10	1	240
Spinoff Employees	139	9	76	4	1,604

* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

1

ERC Influence on Curriculum, FY 1985–2020* 2

	FY 2020 (15 ERCs)		FY 201 Annu	FY 1985–2020 (65 ERCs)	
Degrees	Total	Per Center	Total	Per Center	Total
New Full-Degree Programs Based on ERC Research	2	< 1	2	< 1	57
New Degree Minors Based on ERC Research	2	< 1	0	< 1	34
New Certificate Programs Based on ERC Research	2	< 1	2	< 1	43
Courses	Total	Per Center	Total	Per Center	Total
New Courses Based on ERC Research	32	2	28	2	1,085
Ongoing Courses With ERC Content	246	16	291	16	3,483
Course Modules Based on ERC Research	44	3	28	2	746
Textbooks	Total	Per Center	Total	Per Center	Total
New Textbooks Based on ERC Research	5	< 1	4	< 1	187
New Textbook Chapters Based on ERC Research	5	< 1	6	< 1	109

* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

3 ERC Information Dissemination, FY 1985–2020*

	FY 2020 (15 ERCs)		FY 201 Annu	FY 1985–2020 (65 ERCs)	
Peer-Reviewed Publications (Total)	Total	Per Center	Total	Per Center	Total
Journals**	668	45	918	51	24,685
Conference Proceedings**	316	21	519	29	18,435
Trade Journals	4	< 1	10	1	644
Coauthored With ERC Students	411	27	582	33	12,993
Education and Outreach	Total	Per Center	Total	Per Center	Total
Education and Colloquia	644	43	922	52	17,612
Workshops, Short Courses, and Webinars	467	31	370	21	6,017

* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

** Includes publications that result from center support, associated projects, and sponsored projects

Curricular Impact of ERCs, FY 2007–2020*

	FY 2020 (15 ERCs)		FY 201 Annu	5–2019 alized	FY 2007–2020 (39 ERCs)
New and Ongoing Courses, Workshops, Short Courses, Webinars, and Textbooks Based on ERC Research	Total	Per Center	Total	Per Center	Total
With Engineered-System Focus	234	16	368	20	3,982
With Multidisciplinary Content	194	13	293	16	3,439
Offered at Undergraduate Level	253	17	215	12	2,496
Offered at Graduate Level	238	16	274	15	3,276
Used at More Than One ERC Institution	89	6	96	5	939
Team Taught by Faculty in More Than One Department	76	5	83	5	912

* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

** Data collection of curricular impacts started in 2007.

4

5 ERC Student Degrees, FY 1985–2020*

	FY 2020 (15 ERCs)		FY 201 Annu	5–2019 alized	FY 1985–2020 (65 ERCs)
Degree Type	Total	Per Center	Total	Per Center	Total
Bachelor's	100	7	105	6	4,607
Master's	65	4	92	5	4,379
Doctoral	141	9	155	9	5,221
Total	306	20	352	20	14,207

* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

Degrees Granted to ERC Students vs. All U.S. Engineering Graduates, FY 2014–2020



* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

Data Source: American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE) (http://edms.asee.org)

6

7 ERC Graduate Employment (15 Centers), FY 2020







8

ERC Research and Education Personnel, by Underrepresented Group and Citizenship Status, FY 2020

Personnel Category	Total	Total U.S. Citizens and Bormonont		Women*		Underrepresented Racial Minorities*		Hispanic*		Foreign	
		Residents	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
Faculty											
Total	571	470	122	26%	26	6%	50	11%	60	11%	
Graduate Students											
Postdocs	177	52	24	46%	2	4%	3	6%	104	59%	
Graduate Students	1,131	543	206	38%	47	9%	72	13%	480	42%	
Doctoral	938	438	169	39%	40	9%	49	11%	423	45%	
Master's	196	106	37	35%	7	7%	23	22%	58	30%	
Total**	1,306	595	230	39%	49	8%	75	13%	583	45%	
Undergraduate Students											
ERC Undergraduate Students											
(Research Assistants, Non-REU	684	468	239	51%	63	13%	105	22%	31	5%	
Students)											
NSF REU Site Award Students	57	56	36	64%	14	25%	12	21%	0	0%	
ERC's Own REU Students	116	107	63	59%	33	31%	30	28%	0	0%	
Total**	817	594	316	53%	99	17%	140	24%	31	4%	
Community College			·				·				
Participants in RET Program	4	3	3	100%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	
K–12 Teachers											
K–12 RET	108	89	48	54%	17	19%	15	17%	0	0%	
K–12 Non-RET	50	45	30	67%	8	18%	5	11%	0	0%	
Total	158	134	78	58%	25	19%	20	15%	0	0%	
Young Scholars											
Total	131	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grand Total***	3,018	1,827	772	42%	205	11%	293	16%	674	23%	

* U.S. citizens and permanent residents only

** The sum of the number of personnel for each row may exceed the total because personnel may belong to multiple categories.

*** Leadership/Administration Directors, Thrust Leaders, and Education Program Leaders are included in the Grand Total. For the Grand Total row, all columns exclude Young Scholars, except the Total column.

NOTE: For years in which the center entered demographic data by institution rather than per person, data are not included.

Participants Impacted by ERC Engineering Education Activities, FY 2020

Outreach Participants	Total
Community College Events	
Faculty Who Attended ERC-Sponsored Educational Outreach Events	41
Students Who Attended ERC-Sponsored Educational Outreach Events	850
Total	891
K–12 Events	
Pre-college K–12 Teachers	4,054
K–12 Students	54,175
Total	58,229
Grand Total	59,120

Т

9

10 Women in ERCs, FY 2015–2020



Percentage of Women Personnel in ERCs vs. Percentage of Women in Engineering Programs Generally

Percentage of women in ERCs

Percentage of women in engineering programs (ASEE national engineering data)

- Data from centers are not included for years in which the center entered demographic data by institution rather than per person
- Both ERC data and National statistics are for U.S. citizens and permanent residents only
- Undergraduates include REU students
- The percentages of women are calculated out of the total number of U.S. citizens and permanent residents, including personnel who did not report gender
- ASEE data were not collected for 2020 and for postdoctoral for 2015, 2017, and 2019
- The percentages of personnel who did not report gender are as follows: 2015: 8.12%, 2016: 9.77%, 2017: 12.06%, 2018: 10.67%, 2019: 10.95%, 2020: 9.94%

11 Hispanics and Latinos in ERCs, FY 2015–2020



Percentage of Hispanic and Latino Personnel in ERCs vs. Percentage of Hispanics and Latinos

Percentage of Hispanics and Latinos in ERCs

Percentage of Hispanics and Latinos in engineering programs (ASEE national engineering data)

- Data from centers are not included for years in which the center entered demographic data by institution rather than per person
- Both ERC data and National statistics are for U.S. citizens and permanent residents only ٠
- Undergraduates include REU students
- The percentages of Hispanics and Latinos are calculated out of the total number of U.S. citizens and permanent residents, including personnel who did not report ethnicity
- ASEE data were not collected for 2020 and for postdoctoral for 2015–2020 ٠
- The percentages of personnel who did not report ethnicity are as follows: 2015: 17.59%, 2016: 20.85%, 2017: 17.43%, 2018: 15.81%, 2019: 15.57%, 2020: 15.11%

12 Underrepresented Racial Minorities in ERCs, FY 2015–2020



Percentage of underrepresented racial minorities in ERCs

Percentage of underrepresented racial minorities in engineering programs (ASEE national engineering data)

- Data from centers are not included for years in which the center entered demographic data by institution rather than per person
- Both ERC data and National statistics are for U.S. citizens and permanent residents only
- Undergraduates include REU students
- The percentages of underrepresented racial minorities are calculated out of the total number of U.S. citizens and permanent residents, including personnel who did not report race
- ASEE data were not collected for 2020 and for postdoctoral for 2015–2020
- The percentages of personnel who did not report race are as follows: 2015: 19.24%, 2016: 22.06%, 2017: 17.88%, 2018: 16.91%, 2019: 17.68%, 2020: 16.37% •



Persons With Disabilities in ERCs, FY 2015–2020

Percentage of persons with disabilities in ERCs

• Percentage of persons with disabilities in engineering programs (ASEE national engineering data)

NOTES:

- Data from centers are not included for years in which the center entered demographic data by institution rather than per person
- Undergraduates include REU students
- The percentages of persons with disabilities are calculated out of the total number of U.S. citizens and permanent residents, including personnel who did not report disability status
- The national percentages for persons with disabilities are for all persons, regardless of citizenship. The national percentages for doctoral students with disabilities and master's students with disabilities are from the national percentages for graduate students (master's and doctoral students combined)
- ASEE data are only available for faculty for 2017 and 2019 and for undergraduate for 2016
- The percentages of personnel who did not report disability status are as follows: 2015: 21.86%, 2016: 23.79%, 2017: 27.63%, 2018: 20.44%, 2019: 21.66%, 2020: 18.34%

13

14 U.S. Citizens and Permanent Residents in ERCs, FY 2015–2020



Percentage of U.S. citizens and permanent residents in ERCs

Percentage of U.S. citizens and permanent residents in engineering programs (ASEE national engineering data)

- Data from centers are not included for years in which the center entered demographic data by institution rather than per person
- Undergraduates include REU students
- The percentages of U.S. citizens and permanent residents are calculated out of the total number of personnel, including personnel who did not report citizenship
- ASEE data are not yet available for 2020 and were not collected for postdoctoral for 2015, 2017, and 2019
- The percentages of personnel who did not report citizenship are as follows: 2015: 13.49%, 2016: 18.42%, 2017: 14.07%, 2018: 13.09%, 2019: 12.73%, 2020: 12.64%

15 Personnel Conducting ERC Research, FY 2020



- The sum of the number of personnel for each category may exceed the total number of personnel because personnel may belong to multiple categories
- Percentage of foreign personnel is calculated out of domestic and foreign personnel, excluding personnel who did not report citizenship



17 ERC Industrial/Practitioner Members and Supporting Organizations, FY 2014–2020*



* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

18 ERC Industrial/Practitioner Members and Supporting Organizations, FY 2014–2020*

	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Organization Type							
Contributing Organizations	30	50	85	72	93	100	120
Funders of Associated Projects	218	199	171	144	157	108	113
Funders of Sponsored Projects	10	9	13	12	28	11	9
Foreign Industrial/Practitioner Members	81	69	69	45	45	31	38
U.S. Industrial/Practitioner Members	345	333	301	249	274	234	240
Total Number of Organizations	684	660	639	522	597	484	520
Total Number of Centers	20	17	19	16	19	19	15
Average Number of Organizations per Center	34	39	34	33	31	25	35

* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

19 Industrial/Practitioner Member Support by Year, FY 2014–2020*

	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020**
Type of Support							
Total Membership Fees	\$4,747,675	\$4,309,666	\$3,132,772	\$3,786,620	\$4,105,519	\$3,505,352	\$3,430,622
Member-Sponsored Projects Total Dollar Amount	\$285,000	\$182,000	\$735,122	\$1,440,493	\$1,344,913	\$662,354	\$691,321
Member-Associated Projects Total Dollar Amount	\$4,508,750	\$8,308,585	\$3,001,718	\$2,772,841	\$2,690,570	\$1,506,932	\$1,382,451
Member In-Kind Total Dollar Amount***	\$2,685,819	\$2,954,553	\$1,560,677	\$2,384,789	\$1,914,975	\$1,486,785	\$1,076,199
Total Dollar Amount, Industrial/Practitioner Member Support to Center	\$12,227,244	\$15,754,804	\$8,430,289	\$10,384,743	\$10,055,977	\$7,161,423	\$6,580,593

* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

** Support received by the end of the current reporting year. Includes data for centers that have entered partial data during a no-cost extension (NCE)

*** Data for this row are from the In-Kind Support reported in the Organizations section.

20 Industrial/Practitioner Member Support by Year, FY 2014–2020*



* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

** Support received by the end of the current reporting year. Includes data for centers that have entered partial data during a no-cost extension (NCE)

*** Data for this line are from the In-Kind Support reported in the Organizations section.

21 Distribution of Industrial/Practitioner Members by Industry Size, FY 2016–2020



- The total number of firms is as follows: 2016: 322, 2017: 249, 2018: 276, 2019: 222, 2020: 239
- Industry sizes are as follows: Small = <500 employees, Medium = 500-1,000 employees, Large = >1,000 employees





Total value of support: \$117 million

- Percentages shown are Direct Support and Associated Support combined
- Non-NSF Government includes U.S. Government (Not NSF), State Government, Local Government, Foreign Government, and Quasigovernment Research Organizations
- Other Sources include Medical Facilities, Nonprofit Organizations, Private Foundations, Venture Capitalists and Other Sources





Direct Support total: \$123,196,745

* Includes in-kind support but not residuals

24 Industrial/Practitioner New Support to 15 ERCs, FY 2020



Total value of support: \$5.1 million

25 Non-NSF Government Support by ERC Technology Sector, FY 2014–2020*,**,***



* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

** Support includes Unrestricted Cash, Restricted Cash, and In-Kind Support

*** Includes data for centers that have entered partial data during a no-cost extension (NCE)

26 Industry Support by ERC Technology Sector, FY 2014–2020 *,**,***



* Does not include centers from the Earthquake Technology Sector

** Support includes Unrestricted Cash, Restricted Cash, and In-Kind Support

*** Includes data for centers that have entered partial data during a no-cost extension (NCE)

27 FY 2020 Sources of Support to 15 ERCs, by Technology Sector



Total value of support: \$120 million

NOTE: Sources of Support include Unrestricted Cash, Restricted Cash, In-Kind, and Associated Projects. Residuals are not included

28 FY 2020 Support to ERCs in Advanced Manufacturing Sector: 2 Centers (NASCENT, CMaT)



Total value of support: \$18.9 million

- Sources of Support include Unrestricted Cash, Restricted Cash, In-Kind, and Associated Projects. Residuals are not included
- Non-NSF Government includes U.S. Government (not NSF), State government, local government, foreign government, and quasi-government research organizations
- Other Sources includes medical facilities, nonprofit organizations, private foundations, venture capitalists, and other sources

29 FY 2020 Support to ERCs in Biotechnology and Healthcare Sector: 5 Centers (ASSIST, CELL-MET, NCAT, PATHS-UP, CNT)



Total value of support: \$33.9 million

- Sources of Support include Unrestricted Cash, Restricted Cash, In-Kind, and Associated Projects. Residuals are not included
- Non-NSF Government includes U.S. Government (not NSF), State government, local government, foreign government, and quasi-government research organizations
- Other Sources includes medical facilities, nonprofit organizations, private foundations, venture capitalists, and other sources

30 FY 2020 Support to ERCs in Energy, Sustainability, and Infrastructure Sector: 6 Centers (CBBG, CISTAR, NEWT, QESST, ReNUWIt, CURENT)



Total value of support: \$52.3 million

- Sources of Support include Unrestricted Cash, Restricted Cash, In-Kind, and Associated Projects. Residuals are not included
- Non-NSF Government includes U.S. Government (not NSF), State government, local government, foreign government, and quasi-government research organizations
- Other Sources includes medical facilities, nonprofit organizations, private foundations, venture capitalists, and other sources

31 FY 2020 Support to ERCs in Micro/Optoelectronics, Sensing, and Information Technology Sector: 2 Centers (POETS, TANMS)



Total value of support: \$14.9 million

- Sources of Support include Unrestricted Cash, Restricted Cash, In-Kind, and Associated Projects. Residuals are not included
- Non-NSF Government includes U.S. Government (not NSF), State government, local government, foreign government, and quasi-government research organizations
- Other Sources includes medical facilities, nonprofit organizations, private foundations, venture capitalists, and other sources

32 FY 2020 Expenditures by Type of Research: All ERCs



Total value of support: \$85 million

33 FY 2020 Expenditures by Type of Research: Advanced Manufacturing



Total value of support: \$11 million

• \$0 and \$50,000 corresponds to Translational Research. Area is not visible due to the small relative size

34 FY 2020 Expenditures by Type of Research: Biotechnology and Healthcare



Total value of support: \$23 million

35 FY 2020 Expenditures by Type of Research: Energy, Sustainability, and Infrastructure



Total value of support: \$40 million

• \$139,171 corresponds to Sponsored Projects expenditures for Non-Translational Research. Area is not visible due to the small relative size.

36 FY 2020 Expenditures by Type of Research: Micro/Optoelectronics, Sensing, and Information Technology



Total value of support: \$11 million

• \$14,823 and \$0 corresponds to corresponds to Sponsored Projects expenditures for Translational Research and Non-Translational Research. Area is not visible due to the small relative size.



Disciplines by Technology Sector: Advanced Manufacturing, FY 2020



Total number of Project Investigators (PIs): 80

38

39 Disciplines by Technology Sector: Biotechnology and Healthcare, FY 2020



40 Disciplines by Technology Sector: Energy, Sustainability, and Infrastructure, FY 2020



41 Disciplines by Technology Sector: Micro/Optoelectronics, Sensing, and Information Technology, FY 2020





1 person A							
Algeria	Bolivia	Ireland	Sudan				
Antigua and	Cameroon	Kazakhstan	Uganda				
Barbuda	Costa Rica	Kenya	Ukraine				
Armenia	Ethiopia	Kuwait					
Austria	Ghana	Mauritius					
Barbados	Guatemala	Morocco					
Belarus	Haiti	Netherlands					
Belgium	Indonesia	South Africa					

1				11
France (6)	Nepal (2)	Rwanda (2)	Thailand (4)	Jaj
Germany (9)	Nigeria (5)	Saudi Arabia (5)	Turkey (10)	Bra
Greece (8)	Pakistan (7)	Serbia (3)	United Kingdom (7)	Me
Hong Kong (2)	Panama (3)	Singapore (3)	Venezuela (4)	Ba
Hungary (2)	Peru (5)	Spain (8)	Vietnam (6)	Ira
Israel (4)	Philippines (2)	Sri Lanka (7)		So
Italy (3)	Portugal (3)	Switzerland (2)		Inc
Lebanon (4)	Russia (9)	Taiwan (8)		Ch
	France (6) Germany (9) Greece (8) Hong Kong (2) Hungary (2) Israel (4) Italy (3) Lebanon (4)	France (6)Nepal (2)Germany (9)Nigeria (5)Greece (8)Pakistan (7)Hong Kong (2)Panama (3)Hungary (2)Peru (5)Israel (4)Philippines (2)Italy (3)Portugal (3)Lebanon (4)Russia (9)	France (6)Nepal (2)Rwanda (2)Germany (9)Nigeria (5)Saudi Arabia (5)Greece (8)Pakistan (7)Serbia (3)Hong Kong (2)Panama (3)Singapore (3)Hungary (2)Peru (5)Spain (8)Israel (4)Philippines (2)Sri Lanka (7)Italy (3)Portugal (3)Switzerland (2)Lebanon (4)Russia (9)Taiwan (8)	France (6)Nepal (2)Rwanda (2)Thailand (4)Germany (9)Nigeria (5)Saudi Arabia (5)Turkey (10)Greece (8)Pakistan (7)Serbia (3)United Kingdom (7)Hong Kong (2)Panama (3)Singapore (3)Venezuela (4)Hungary (2)Peru (5)Spain (8)Vietnam (6)Israel (4)Philippines (2)Sri Lanka (7)Italy (3)Italy (3)Portugal (3)Switzerland (2)Lebanon (4)Russia (9)Taiwan (8)

11+ people	Å	
Japan	11	Co
Brazil	12	Re
Mexico	14	
Bangladesh	25	
Iran	36	
South Korea	43	
India	119	
China	257	

ĥ

ountry Not 14*



Note: All centers are multi-university partnerships; university shown is lead institution.



Countries with 1-20 collaborators				
Argentina (1)	India (1)	Russia (2)	United Arab Emirates (1)	
Australia (2)	Ireland (3)	Singapore (1)	United Kingdom (10)	
Belgium (1)	Israel (1)	Slovenia (1)		
Canada (1)	Italy (2)	South Korea (4)		
China (5)	Japan (8)	Spain (1)		
Denmark (3)	Netherlands (3)	Switzerland (3)		

Taiwan (1)

Ukraine (1)

France (4)

Germany (6)

Norway (1)

Poland (1)

No Countries Reported

No Countries Reported



Number of Institutions and Organizations With Financial Headquarters Abroad Collaborating With ERCs, by Country of Origin, FY 2020*,**



* Displays counts of Industrial/Practitioner members, Funders of Associated Projects, Funders of Sponsored Projects, Contributing Organizations, Collaborating Institutions, Non-ERC Institutions Providing REU Students, and Foreign Partner Institutions

** Community college and Pre-college institutions are excluded

46

47 Comparisons by Member Firms of the Performance of ERC Hires vs. Non-ERC Hires*



* Percentage of industrial supervisors rating the former ERC students/graduates hired by their firms as "Better Than" or "Much Better Than" equivalent hires without ERC experience